	IJNITED	STATES DISTR	PICT COURT
	EASTERN	District of	MICHIGAN
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		
	V.	ORDE	ER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	RIDBERTO NAJERA, Defendant	Case	4:07-CR-30068-2FL
			g has been held. I conclude that the following facts require
		Part I—Findings of Fac	
\((1)		ral offense if a circumstance givin .C. § 3156(a)(4). ence is life imprisonment or death	
	a felony that was committed after the def	fendant had been convicted of tw	o or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.
	§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-©, or comparable state of the offense described in finding (1) was come A period of not more than five years has elap for the offense described in finding (1).	or local offenses. mitted while the defendant was obsed since the date of convice.	on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.
(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebusafety of (an) other person(s) and the commu		ition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the dant has not rebutted this presumption.
		Alternative Findings (A))
\mathbf{X} (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the de		
	for which a maximum term of imprisonn under 18 U.S.C. § 924©.	nent of ten years or more is presc	ribed in 21 U.S.C. 801 et. seq.
X (2)	2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably a		
	the appearance of the defendant as required a		
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will	Alternative Findings (B))
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear. There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.		
	Part II—	Written Statement of Reason	ns for Detention
	find that the credible testimony and information to of the evidence that	n submitted at the hearing establi	shes by clear and convincing evidence X a prepon-
		ion presented at the hearing revea	als that although the defendant is in the United States
			rn District of Michigan. It further appears that the
	e two different names. Based upon the information of this defendant. I find that there are no condition		
	lant's appearance. The defendant shall be deta		s which will assure the safety of the community or the in this matter. IT IS SO ORDERED.
	The defendant shall be down	and without cond pending than	in the inwest. It is so one stable
separa afford for the	he defendant is committed to the custody of the tte, to the extent practicable, from persons award ed a reasonable opportunity for private consulta	nating or serving sentences or being ation with defense counsel. On or	Detention nated representative for confinement in a corrections facility ing held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be der of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an

Date: February 1, 2007 s/ Steven D. Pepe

STEVEN D. PEPE. U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Name and Title of Judge

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. \S 801 *et seq.*); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. \S 951 *et seq.*); or © Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. \S 955a).

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on February 1, 2007, I electronically filed the foregoing paper with the Clerk of the Court using the ECF system which will send such notification of such filing to the following: James C. Mitchell, AUSA,, Francisco Villarruel, and I hereby certify that I have mailed by United States Postal Service/hand delivered the paper to the following non-ECF participants: United States Marshal Service, 600 Church St., Flint, MI, 48502, Pretrial Services Officer, 600 Church St., Flint, MI 48502.

s/James P. Peltier
James P. Peltier
Courtroom Deputy Clerk
U.S.District Court
600 Church St.
Flint, MI 48502
810-341-7850
pete_peltier@mied.uscourts.gov